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Public Records Act 1958: 4.4: Privileged Access:

- ‘Subsection (1) of this Act shall not make it unlawful for the Keeper of Public Records to permit a person to inspect any records if he has obtained special authority in that behalf given by an officer of a government department or other body, being an officer accepted by the Lord Chancellor as qualified to give such an authority.’
FOI Requests, Q1 2009 (1)

Figure 1: Numbers of FoI requests received by Departments of State and other monitored bodies, quarterly from Q1 2007
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Departments of State and other monitored bodies on requests received in Q1 2009

FOI Requests at The National Archives

- Of the approx. 6m records TNA stores, the rolling average of closed records is in the region of 200,000, all of which are listed on the TNA catalogue.
Some empirical findings...

- Series of e-mails sent to Departmental Records Officers (DROs) across government
- 15% responded (30/206)
- 8 responses from the ‘top 23 departments’
- 9 departments confirmed a Privileged Access Arrangement (30%)
Examples of current ‘Privileged Access Arrangements’ in Government:

- HM Prison Service  
  (http://www.hmprisonservice.gov.uk/resourcecentre/research/)

- CAFCASS  
  (http://www.cafcass.gov.uk/news/2008/research_strategy.aspx)

- Her Majesty’s Court Service (http://www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk/infoabout/information_for_researchers/index.htm)
Discussion

- What constitutes a ‘bone fide researcher’ or academic?
- Is further legislation needed?
- How long should a Privileged Access Agreement remain valid?
- How should digital records be managed?
- How might academic practices change to incorporate this e.g. increased collaboration with other requesters (e.g. the media, non-governmental organisations), shortened peer review processes etc?
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